Art Unit 1613

Reply to Office Action of November 12, 2010

Docket No.: 1599-0325PUS1 Page 7 of 9

REMARKS

Status of the Claims

Claims 21-27 are pending. Claim 21 is independent. Claims 21, 22 and 26 have been

amended according to the Examiner's suggestions made during the Interview. Thus, no new

matter has been added by way of the present submission.

Also, Applicants submit that no new issues are raised by way of the present submission.

For instance, the claims have merely been amended to narrow the subject matter formerly

examined. Thus, the Examiner is not presented with the burden of additional search and/or

examination. In the event that the present submission does not place the application into better

form for appeal, entry thereof is respectfully requested as placing the application into better form

for appeal.

In view of the following remarks, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the

current rejection and allow the currently pending claims.

Interview Summary

Applicants thank the Examiner for discussing this case with Applicants' representative on

January 10, 2011. During the discussions, the Examiner suggested revisions to the term

"heterocycle" in the claims; removal of "spiro[2,5]octan" from R4; and removal of "1" from

variables "m and n." Also, the Examiner made it clear that a RCE is not necessary to enter such

amendments and that allowance would follow. Thus, the claims have been amended based on the

Examiner's suggestions. By way of such amendments, it is respectfully submitted that the

pending claims satisfy § 112, first paragraph and are allowable.

Issue Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first Paragraph (Written Description)

Claims 21-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply

with the written description requirement. Applicants respectfully traverse the above rejection.

Application No.: 10/579,042

Art Unit 1613

Reply to Office Action of November 12, 2010

As explained above, the claims have been amended in a manner suggested by the

Examiner. Thus, by way of the present submission, the § 112, first paragraph rejection is moot.

Reconsideration and withdrawal thereof are therefore respectfully requested.

Request for Interview

If the Examiner wishes any further clarification or amendments, the Examiner is

respectfully requested to contact Applicants' representative and provide a chance to conduct an

interview with the Examiner and/or filing another Supplemental Amendment in due course in an

effort to get the case allowed.

Conclusion

In view of the above remarks, Applicants believe that the present application is in

condition for allowance.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Kay Kyung-Sook Chang,

Registration No. 56,946 at the telephone number of the undersigned below to conduct an

interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

CAM/KC/ma

Docket No.: 1599-0325PUS1

Page 8 of 9

Application No.: 10/579,042 Docket No.: 1599-0325PUS1
Art Unit 1613 Page 9 of 9

Reply to Office Action of November 12, 2010

If necessary, the Director is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge any fees required during the pendency of the above-identified application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448.

Dated:	FEB 1 1 2011	Respectfully submitted,
		By McRobbio
		Craig A. McRobbie Registration No.: 42874
		BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLI
		8110 Gatehouse Road, Suite 100 East
		P.O. Box 747
		Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
		703-205-8000